

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/634,185	08/09/2000	James S. Hiscock	SYNER-163XX	5742
207	7590 12/03/2004		EXAMINER	
	EN, SCHURGIN, GAG	BARNIE, REXFORD N		
	TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	<u></u>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
· ·	09/634,185	HISCOCK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	REXFORD N BARNIE	2643			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 October 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15 (4-7, 12, 13 and 20) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikinis et al. (US pat# 6,167,120) in view of Krawez et al. (US pat# 6,1012,951) or Volansky et al. (US Pat# 5,807,139).

Regarding claim 15, Kikinis teaches a home networking system in (see fig. 1) with an adapter to which a plurality of devices can be connected creating a multimedia system with disclosed means as shown in (see fig. 1) but fails to teach the claimed housing structure.

Krawez teaches a phone plug for a home phone line system including a home data network which can accommodate a plurality of multimedia devices including a telephone and/or computing device in (see fig. 4A).

Volansky teaches an adapter to which a plurality of multimedia devices can be connected in (see figs., abstract)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the idea to implement multimedia by using any known adapter in order to provide multimedia services.

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claims 4-7 and 20, it's well known to use splitters or wall interface connecting telephone devices with AC/DC source to service providers and the examiner takes official notice to that regard. The combination teaches interfaces. The combination including Krawez teaches being able to mount the adapter to a telephone jack, which is normally or can be mounted in a wall.

Regarding claims 12-13, the combination renders the claimed subject matter obvious.

Claims 14, 21, 33 and 39-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikinis et al. (US pat# 6,167,120) in view of Krawez et al. (US pat# 6,1012,951) or Volansky et al. (US Pat# 5,807,139) and further in view of Jones et al. (US Pat# 6,404,764).

Regarding claim 14, The combination fails to teach the claimed subject matter but Jones et al. teaches a multimedia adapter which can accommodate a digital telephone, receive E-mails (see col.10) and has interfaces including an Ethernet interface, RF interface, 1394 interface and provides VOIP services in (see fig. 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to implement the teaching of Jones into that of the combination thus making it possible to provide multimedia services including using digital phones for its ability to receive data.

Regarding claims 21 and 33, The combination teaches VOIP.

Regarding claims 39-47, see the explanation as set forth regarding claim 15 in addition to (fig. 4 of Jones et al.)

Art Unit: 2643

Claims 15-17, 20, 21, 23-24, 33,34 and 37-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerszberg (US pat# 6,714,534) in view of Krawez et al. (US pat# 6,1012,951) or Volansky et al. (US Pat# 5,807,139).

Regarding claim 15, Gerszberg teaches a multimedia system comprising an integrated residence gateway (ISD/ISG) which according to Gerszberg in (see col. 7 lines 12-17) can be mounted to the side of the premise, inside or in the basement just as an example based on "may". Furthermore, Gerszberg teaches in (see fig. 2) that the ISD is capable of providing multimedia services via a controller and network interface means in addition to an Ethernet interface.

Gerszberg fails to teach the claimed housing structure.

Krawez teaches a phone plug for a home phone line system including a home data network which can accommodate a plurality of multimedia devices including a telephone and/or computing device in (see fig. 4A).

Volansky teaches an adapter to which a plurality of multimedia devices can be connected in (see figs., abstract)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the idea to implement multimedia by using any known adapter inorder to provide multimedia services.

Regarding claims 16 and 17, The combination including a network security subsystem and the examiner takes official notice that user authentication, encryption and so forth are known as means of avoiding fraudulent access to network services. Furthermore, The combination including Gerszberg teaches in (see col. 16 lines 31).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 20, It's known to use AC or DC power to power communication devices and also has support in (see col.15 lines 20-35 of Gerszberg).

Regarding claims 21, 23, 24, 33, 34 and 37-47, see the explanation as set forth regarding claim 15 in addition to (see figs. 1D and 2 of Gerszberg). The claimed subject matter is rendered obvious. Furthermore, it's known to use PCMCIA cards in telephone adapters.

Claims 18-19 and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerszberg (US pat# 6,714,534) in view of Krawez et al. (US pat# 6,1012,951) or Volansky et al. (US Pat# 5,807,139) and further in view of Vaugh et al. (US Pat# 6,661,893).

Regarding claims 18-19, The combination fails to teach the claimed subject matter but Vaugh et al. teaches a telephone loop monitoring system in (see col. 4) wherein status information can be reported to a user via a display means.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Vaugh into that of the combination thus making it possible to take corrective measures or request help when necessary.

Regarding claims 35-38, the examiner takes official notice that it's well known to inform users of network resource status or status of other communication devices in a network in the form of data signaling/messages.

Art Unit: 2643

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to REXFORD N BARNIE whose telephone number is (703) 306-2744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CURTIS KUNTZ can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

REXFORD BARNIE

11/29/04

REXFORD BARNIE PRIMARY EXAMINER